School Building Authority of West Virginia EVALUATION INSTRUMENT

Previous Ten Year Comprehensive Educational Facility

revious ren rea	ar comprehensive Education	onai racinty			
From _	To	<u></u>			
SBA FORM 149					

West Virginia Code 18-9D-16(g) and State Board Policy 6200, Article 100.19 requires all county boards of educations to submit an objective evaluation of the ten year Comprehensive Educational Facilities Plan (CEFP). This evaluation shall be completed by the CEFP committee established by the local board to plan the upcoming ten-year plan consisting of community members and professional staff from each high school attendance area. The committee will familiarize themselves with the state board requirements of the plan and the current county CEFP prior to completing this evaluation form. All amendments to the plan since the inception of the previous ten year plan will be objectively evaluated for its effectiveness and completeness of projects within that plan. The following should be used to effectuate this evaluation of the 2000 ten year plan and also be used as a means to improve future plans. Goals to be evaluated include WV Code 18-9D-16(g):

pians. C	joais to t	e evalua	ted includ	ie w v C	oue 18-9.	D-10(g):			
1. 2. 3. 4.	Econom Demogr	ies of So	rcumstan		Fravel		5. 6. 7.	Educati	lar Improvements onal Innovations cy of Space for Projected ents
	(1 -	- Poor R	ating; 3 -	– Adequa	ately met	the need	d or requ	iirement	; 5 – Excellent Rating)
1.	Did the	CEFP co	ontain all 1	data requ 2	ired in St	tate Board	d Policy 6 5	5200?	
2.									to be made regarding the sired goals of the ten-year plan?
3.		Yes iginal pl	plan sign —— an was alternations	No tered:				•	
	(b)	Did the	amended	plan effe 2	ectively is	mprove to	he county 5	's ability	to deliver the curriculum?
	(c)	Were th	ne amendi 1	ments ger	nerally po	olitically 4	initiated 1 5	rather tha	n educationally motivated?
4.			SBA fund and the go						cts that further the overall goals of
			1	2	3	4	5		
5.		degree l		ne projec	ts identifi	ied in the	ten year	plan be e	effectively completed during
		25%	50%	70%	80%	85%	90%	95%	100%

Page Two	
Comments relative to the major issues (positive and r in Items 1 thru 5. (Additional comments may be attack)	negative) that led to the conclusion of the evaluation committee ched)
-	
Comments relative to improving the plan to be develo	oped for the upcoming ten year planning cycle.
List Committee Members below:	
Committee Chairperson	Date

SBA 149 Revised 9/2015