School Building Authority of West Virginia

EVALUATION INSTRUMENT

Previous Ten Year Comprehensive Educational Facility

From __________ To __________

SBA FORM 149

West Virginia Code 18-9D-16(g) and State Board Policy 6200, Article 100.19 requires all county boards of educations to submit an objective evaluation of the ten year Comprehensive Educational Facilities Plan (CEFP). This evaluation shall be completed by the CEFP committee established by the local board to plan the upcoming ten-year plan consisting of community members and professional staff from each high school attendance area. The committee will familiarize themselves with the state board requirements of the plan and the current county CEFP prior to completing this evaluation form. All amendments to the plan since the inception of the previous ten year plan will be objectively evaluated for its effectiveness and completeness of projects within that plan. The following should be used to effectuate this evaluation of the 2000 ten year plan and also be used as a means to improve future plans. Goals to be evaluated include WV Code 18-9D-16(g):

1. Student Health and Safety
2. Economies of Scale
3. Demographic Circumstances and Travel
4. Multi-County Projects
5. Curricular Improvements
6. Educational Innovations
7. Adequacy of Space for Projected Enrollments

(1 – Poor Rating; 3 – Adequately met the need or requirement; 5 – Excellent Rating)

1. Did the CEFP contain all data required in State Board Policy 6200?
   1   2   3   4   5

2. Was the data sufficient to allow prudent long-range planning decisions to be made regarding the educational direction and facility needs necessary to accomplish the desired goals of the ten-year plan?
   1   2   3   4   5

3. Was the original plan significantly amended during the ten-year cycle?
   Yes _____  No _____
   If the original plan was altered:
   (a) Did alternations in the plan generally prove to be positive changes?
       1   2   3   4   5
   
   (b) Did the amended plan effectively improve the county’s ability to deliver the curriculum?
       1   2   3   4   5
   
   (c) Were the amendments generally politically initiated rather than educationally motivated?
       1   2   3   4   5

4. Were local and SBA funds used effectively for individual school projects that further the overall goals of the county plan and the goals of the SBA as defined in 18-9D-16(d)?
   1   2   3   4   5

5. To what degree has/will the projects identified in the ten year plan be effectively completed during this planning period?
   25%   50%   70%   80%   85%   90%   95%   100%

SBA 149
Comments relative to the major issues (positive and negative) that led to the conclusion of the evaluation committee in Items 1 thru 5. (Additional comments may be attached)

Comments relative to improving the plan to be developed for the upcoming ten year planning cycle.

List Committee Members below:

Committee Chairperson

Date

SBA 149
Revised 9/2015